Efficacy of pneumatic dilatation for the treatment of idiopathic achalasia: a single-center experience
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Pneumatic dilatation (PD) and Surgical Miotomy (SM) are presently the best treatments for untreated achalasia, with similar efficacy. There is no information on the relative efficacy of PD in younger compared to older patients. Aim of our study was to compare success rate and safety of PD in patients under fifty years old and in those over fifty years old affected by achalasia. Twenty consecutive symptomatic patients were treated in our Unit with graded PD under fluoroscopic view. Five male and 15 female with a median age of 47 years were treated. Twelve patients were less than fifty years old (group I) while 8 were older (group II). Median dysphagia questionnaire score was 14 and 13 in group I and II respectively. Technical success was achieved in all patients. Seven patients were previously treated through other techniques. In group I all patients achieved a complete remission of symptoms with significant decrease of the dysphagia questionnaire score (3). In group II all patients achieved a complete remission of symptoms with significant decrease of the dysphagia questionnaire score (4.5) but 3 of them repeated the procedure. In both groups the efficacy of the dilation was radiologically confirmed. Neither early nor late complications were reported in either groups. In our experience PD was an effective and safe procedure both in young and old patients although the older group had more recurrences, all successfully re-dilated.
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myotomy (SM), in order to reduce the lower esophageal sphincter pressure \[1\].

However, there is no information on the relative efficacy of PD in younger compared to older patients. Aim of our study was to compare success rate and safety of PD in patients under fifty years old and in those over fifty years old affected by achalasia.

**Patients and methods**

Twenty consecutive symptomatic patients were treated in our Unit with graded PD trough Rigiflex balloons (©Boston Scientific) under fluoroscopic view. All patients were previously evaluated with upper endoscopy and x-ray upper gastrointestinal transit. When indicated esophageal manometry was also performed. All patients signed an informed consent and filled in a dysphagia questionnaire (table 1) before the procedure. All procedures were performed under conscious sedation monitoring blood pressure, heart rate and oximetry. Patients were hospitalized and afterwards followed-up as outpatients in order to assess the clinical benefit or the onset of late complication.

Five male and 15 female with a median age of 47 years (range 21-82) were treated. Twelve patients were less than fifty years old (group I) while 8 were older (group II). Median dysphagia questionnaire score was 14 and 13 in group I and II respectively. Technical success was achieved in all patients obtaining a final dilation up to 35 mm. Seven patients were previously treated as follow: 4 with medical therapy, 2 trough botulin injection and 1 with HM. The median follow-up was 34.5 months (range 2-120).

**Results**

In group I all patients achieved a complete remission of symptoms with significant decrease of the dysphagia questionnaire score (median value: 3). In group II all patients achieved a complete remission of symptoms with significant decrease of the dysphagia questionnaire score (median value: 4.5) but 3 of them repeated the procedure after a median of 4.5 months, after the new assessment of Lower Esophageal Sphinter (LES) pressure. In both groups the efficacy of the dilation was radiologically confirmed. Neither early nor late complication were reported. With regards to the complications of PD, the principal pitfall is an esophageal perforation rate of 2-6% (depending on the series and technique) \[2,3\], which leads to completion myotomy emergently, and the lack of long term efficacy compared with SM in most studies \[6\].

As aforementioned, PD of the LES in patients with achalasia is performed to fracture the muscularis propria. PD with a rigid balloon across the LES has been shown to be an effective and inexpensive technique, while the use of bougienage or standard balloon dilation resulted to be typically ineffective in achalasia \[2,3\]. Usually the balloon is positioned across the LES using a guidewire and fluoroscopy. Investigators have also performed PD through a direct visualization rather than fluoroscopy to limit radiation exposure as well as to improve clinical remission and complications; however no differences were found, in terms of complications or response rates, between the two techniques \[4\].

In our series, no complications were reported. With regards to the complications of PD, the principal pitfall is an esophageal perforation rate of 2-6% (depending on the series and technique) \[9\], which leads to completion myotomy emergently, and the lack of long term efficacy compared with SM in most studies \[6\].

The role of injection of botulinum toxin injection (EBTI) versus pneumatic dilation is currently debated \[7,8\]. On the other hand, the role of PD compared to surgery still represents an area of uncertainty. A RCT examining SM versus PD showed equivalent results with regards to short-term efficacy, but in the long term patients who underwent SM had fewer recurrent symptoms than those treated with PD \[9\]. Some studies (but not RCT) suggest that PD may achieve long-term relief of symptoms \[10\] particularly in older patients (>50 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Difficulty swallowing liquids</th>
<th>0 never</th>
<th>1 &lt;1 x week</th>
<th>2 several times/week</th>
<th>3 every day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Difficulty swallowing solids</td>
<td>0 never</td>
<td>1 &lt;1 x week</td>
<td>2 several times/week</td>
<td>3 every day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Character of swallowing difficulty</td>
<td>0 never</td>
<td>1 solids sticks briefly</td>
<td>2 solids only clear with liquids</td>
<td>3 solids cause vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Present weight</td>
<td>1 increased</td>
<td>2 same</td>
<td>3 solids cause vomiting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Chest pain</td>
<td>0 absent</td>
<td>1 present</td>
<td>2 several times</td>
<td>3 every day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>extraesophageal symptoms</td>
<td>0 absent</td>
<td>1 present</td>
<td>2 several times</td>
<td>3 every day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>use of PPI</td>
<td>0 none</td>
<td>1 less than 3 months</td>
<td>2 more than 3 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>HB</td>
<td>1 &gt;12</td>
<td>2 10-12</td>
<td>3 10-8</td>
<td>4 &lt;8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

In our experience PD was an effective and safe procedure both in young and old patients although the older group had more recurrences, all successfully re-dilated.
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\section*{Conclusion}

Treatment options for achalasia have traditionally included pharmacotherapy such as injections with EBTI, PD and SM. Although the last two are considered the mainstay of treatment because of higher success rates and relative long-term efficacy compared to pharmacotherapy and EBTI, they both are associated with a perforation risk of about 1%. SM is the most invasive of the procedures, but requiring laparoscopy and surgical dissection of the esophagogastric junction. Further studies are required to determine the cause of achalasia with identifying mechanisms that would facilitate medical intervention. Data arising from the literature show that peroral endoscopic myotomy (POEM) \cite{14-17} is a technique in evolution that does not have a strong evidence base at this time. Uncontrolled case series demonstrate that it can improve symptoms in patients with achalasia, but that side effects can occur commonly. Therefore, the use of POEM for treatment of esophageal achalasia is considered investigational.

In conclusion, further prospective studies, with a larger number of patients, are needed in order to assess the impact of PD in the clinical course of esophageal achalasia according to patients age, and establishing a correlation between clinical, radiological, functional and endoscopical data.

Up to now, the therapy of achalasia is based on relaxation or mechanical disruption of the LES. Due to the rarity of the disease, there are few randomized, controlled clinical trials (RCT) that can define the optimal strategy to treat achalasia, and consequently the safety, effectiveness, and durability of available treatment options vary widely.
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